

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

UNITED STATES of AMERICA) COURT FILE
vs.) NO. 20-CR-180 (ECT)
)
MARC BELL GONZALES) Courtroom 3B
) Wednesday, June 2, 2021
) St. Paul, Minnesota
) 9:00 A.M.

S E N T E N C I N G

**BEFORE THE HONORABLE ERIC C. TOSTRUD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

A P P E A R A N C E S:

For the Government: **OFFICE OF THE U.S. ATTORNEY**
By: ANDREW R. WINTER
Assistant U.S. Attorney
600 United States Courthouse
300 South Fourth Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415

For the Defendant: **KASSIUS BENSON LAW, P.A.**
By: KASSIUS O. BENSON, ESQUIRE
3201 Hennepin Avenue
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55408

TIMOTHY J. WILLETT, RDR, CRR, CRC
Official Court Reporter - United States District Court
Warren E. Burger Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse
316 North Robert Street - Suite 146
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101
651.848.1224

1 (9:00 a.m.)

2 **P R O C E E D I N G S**

3 **IN OPEN COURT**

4 (Defendant present)

5 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Please be
6 seated.

7 We're here this morning for sentencing in United
8 States of America versus Marc Bell Gonzales. This is
9 Criminal File Number 20-180.

10 Good morning, Mr. Gonzales.

11 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: All right. At this time I'll invite
13 counsel to note their appearances for the record, starting
14 with the Government.

15 MR. WINTER: Good morning, Your Honor. Andrew
16 Winter appearing on behalf of the United States.

17 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Winter.

18 MR. BENSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Kassius
19 Benson appearing for Mr. Gonzales, who's present to my left.

20 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Benson. Mr. Benson,
21 did I read that you have a new job that you'll be starting
22 soon or perhaps already have?

23 MR. BENSON: I do, Your Honor, and I have. I
24 am -- yes, I'm the Chief Public Defender in Hennepin County.

25 THE COURT: Congratulations.

1 MR. BENSON: Thank you. Thank you.

2 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gonzales previously
3 pleaded guilty to conspiracy to commit arson in violation of
4 18 U.S.C., Sections 371 and 844(i).

5 Mr. Winter, the Government's received a copy of
6 the PSR and addendum?

7 MR. WINTER: Yes, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: And as I understand, no outstanding
9 objections from the Government.

10 MR. WINTER: That's correct.

11 THE COURT: And, Mr. Benson, have you and
12 Mr. Gonzales received a copy of the PSR and addendum?

13 MR. BENSON: Yes, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: And have you reviewed and discussed
15 those documents?

16 MR. BENSON: Yes, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: And am I correct that there are no
18 outstanding objections?

19 MR. BENSON: Correct, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Okay. I understand there's an
21 argument or suggestion that Mr. Gonzales's criminal history
22 is overstated, but I'll treat that as either a suggestion
23 for a departure or variance and I'll deal with that
24 separately. Is that fair?

25 MR. BENSON: That is fair, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

2 All right. I'll grant a two-level downward
3 adjustment in the offense level for acceptance of
4 responsibility pursuant to Guidelines Section 3E1.1(a).

7 MR. WINTER: We do, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: All right. That motion's granted.

9 I'll also adopt as the findings of the Court all of the
10 factual statements contained in the PSR, so I determine the
11 guidelines apply as follows:

17 Mr. Winter, any corrections or objections to
18 those?

19 MR. WINTER: No, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Mr. Benson, same question.

21 MR. BENSON: No, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Okay.

23 All right. Mr. Gonzales, here's how things are
24 going to go today. We'll talk about this issue relative to
25 your criminal history that Mr. Benson's raised and deal with

1 that, and when we've concluded with that Mr. Winter will
2 have an opportunity to say anything that he'd like to say
3 about what he thinks should be an appropriate sentence in
4 your case.

5 At that time, after that, Mr. Benson will have an
6 opportunity to say anything that he'd like to say and
7 advocate on your behalf for what he thinks should be an
8 appropriate sentence in this case.

9 And then lastly I'll give you an opportunity to
10 speak and to tell me anything about how you came to be here
11 today and what you think about an appropriate sentence in
12 this case.

13 Before we do all that, I want you to know a couple
14 things.

15 First thing I want you to know is, if this isn't
16 the most important day in your life, it's right up there and
17 we're going to treat it that way.

18 And the second thing I want you to know is I've
19 read everything. I read the PSR, I read additional
20 submissions following the PSR, and I've also reviewed the
21 letters that I've received, letters from your family, from
22 your friends, from your uncle, I believe, and all of that
23 was very helpful to me in getting to know you and trying to
24 understand you, all right?

25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Does all that make sense?

2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Okay. Let's deal with the overstated
4 criminal history issue separately, if that's okay.

5 So under Guidelines Section 4A1.3(b): "If
6 reliable information indicates that the defendant's criminal
7 history category substantially overrepresents the
8 seriousness of the defendant's criminal history or the
9 likelihood that the defendant will commit other crimes, a
10 downward departure may be warranted."

11 For example: "A downward departure from the
12 defendant's criminal history category may be warranted," an
13 application note teaches, "if the defendant had two minor
14 misdemeanor convictions close to ten years prior to the
15 instant offense and no other evidence of prior criminal
16 behavior in the intervening period."

17 Here, I understand Mr. Gonzales to be arguing or
18 suggesting that his Criminal History Category of IV
19 substantially overrepresents the seriousness of his criminal
20 history.

21 Mr. Benson, any additional argument or discussion
22 that you think is necessary with respect to that issue?

23 MR. BENSON: No, Your Honor. I will just note
24 that I'm aware of the law. I will just note this, that
25 his -- as Your Honor sees, he has a point for driving

1 without a valid license, which is 2018, so it's not ten
2 years ago, it's not over ten years, but a driving without a
3 valid license misdemeanor, 2018. He has a point for driving
4 while intoxicated, which was a gross misdemeanor, but it was
5 a misdemeanor, 2019, and then he had two points there added
6 because at the time of the instant offense he was
7 committed -- well, under a criminal justice sentence, which
8 means he's on probation.

9 So we're looking at -- the reason I raise the
10 issue, he's looking at four points for misdemeanor offenses.
11 Now, they're not, you know, at a ten-year point in time.
12 However, I think it's significant when we look at -- we're
13 aware, obviously, we're definitely aware of his -- he's got
14 the robbery conviction, very serious, that should count. I
15 submit to the Court whether it's towards, like, a variance
16 or it's on this particular issue, I think his four points
17 for misdemeanor offenses does exaggerate and I'd say in this
18 case substantially exaggerates his criminal history score,
19 or category. That's all.

20 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Winter, anything that
21 you'd like to comment on with respect to that issue?

22 MR. WINTER: We respectfully disagree and would
23 really just cite to the guidelines provision the Court read
24 into the record as just not meeting those basic
25 requirements.

THE COURT: I agree and I'll deny the motion.

Mr. Gonzales's criminal history is not like the example provided in the Application Note to Section 4A1.3(b). His 2010 conviction for second degree armed robbery was quite serious. He violated his probation in connection with that offense. His criminal history since has been, I think it's more fair to say, consistent, not sporadic.

I'll grant that Mr. Gonzales's more recent criminal history is not extreme and does not reflect acts of direct violence, but those are factors, I think, that are more appropriately considered under Section 3553(a) when I consider the history and characteristics of Mr. Gonzales and not as a basis for a downward departure under 4A1.3(b).

All right. Mr. Winter, I take it nothing has changed with respect to restitution.

MR. WINTER: That's correct. Wells Fargo is not seeking restitution in this case.

THE COURT: Okay. So we don't have to worry about that here.

All right. Mr. Winter, why don't you tell me anything that you want to tell me about what the Government thinks is an appropriate sentence here.

MR. WINTER: Your Honor, the Government maintains that the low end of the guidelines range, i.e., 37 months,

1 we assess to be a fair and reasonable sentence in this case.

2 The things that I would highlight which were
3 really discussed in the memorandum, but I would just kind of
4 point out, I guess, that what I consider to be the driving
5 factors here is that while this was a bank building,
6 Mr. Gonzales wouldn't know and couldn't know if there was
7 anyone inside the building when he helped burn it down. He
8 wouldn't know and couldn't know what kind of dangers the
9 firefighters encountered when they came to put the fire out
10 that Mr. Gonzales helped start.

11 And these are -- just the whole notion of an arson
12 is indicative of disregard for public safety and in a way
13 that is so far beyond his control. He starts something that
14 he literally cannot control. And to do it -- you know, the
15 videos make it look like he was gleefully participating in
16 this conduct, raising the gas can above his head, the crowd
17 is chanting, "Burn it down, burn it down," and then he does
18 what he does.

19 This on top of the fact that he has had a number
20 of contacts with the criminal justice system that by the age
21 of 28 one would hope would have sunk in, that this is not
22 the route to go.

23 The Government is fully aware based on the PSR
24 that he clearly has struggled with chemical dependency
25 issues and that chemical dependency has driven much if not

1 all of his criminal conduct. And the Government is aware
2 that certainly as of recently he seems to be making a
3 good-faith effort to get that under control, which I think
4 bodes well for the future, which is one of the reasons why
5 the Government feels comfortable recommending the low end of
6 the guidelines range in this case, because it is not all a
7 bad news story in our estimation.

8 So, at the end of the day we do feel that on
9 balance, looking at all the 3553(a) factors, that the low
10 end of the range is -- provides an appropriate sentence.

11 And the last comment is simply, we appear to be
12 living in times where civil disorder is more common perhaps
13 than it used to be, and so the notion of specific deterrence
14 as to Mr. Gonzales's own life, I think the point will be
15 made by a three-year prison sentence, that this will
16 hopefully deter him from this kind of conduct in the future.
17 I also believe that the general deterrence here where if you
18 participate in a riot and you burn a building down, you're
19 going to do some time, is not a bad message to be sent and
20 is part of the 3553(a) consideration.

21 So for all those reasons, that is our
22 recommendation. Thank you.

23 THE COURT: Thank you.

24 Mr. Benson?

25 MR. BENSON: Yes, Your Honor. Considering the

1 3553 --

2 THE COURT: Oh, sorry, Mr. Benson. I should ask
3 you to turn your mic on.

4 MR. BENSON: I was warned. I apologize.

5 Yes, Your Honor, considering the 3553(a) factors
6 and as I submitted in the document, a sentence no greater
7 than the bottom of the guideline box -- I mean, there was a
8 plea agreement in this case. The Court's got to determine a
9 sentence that's appropriate under 3553(a). They indicated
10 that 27 months, bottom of the box, which we had talked about
11 at the time of the plea, it was raised given the criminal
12 history score as it came out. I'd ask the Court to consider
13 those factors when imposing a proper sentence, the 3553(a)
14 factors.

15 This was an act that was dangerous. Mr. Gonzales
16 acknowledged in his presentence investigation that, you
17 know, once he sobered up and he realized and he thought
18 about it and he knew, you know, at that point he didn't know
19 if somebody was in that building or not. There's no dispute
20 that this was an action which -- as far as the action
21 itself -- which was dangerous. However, I think it should
22 be tempered with the understanding of the circumstance and
23 his problems with chemical use, his intoxication that night,
24 which is not an excuse, but an explanation, and as something
25 that in a sober moment he would not have participated in.

1 We also should acknowledge at least that this was
2 a circumstance that was unique and exceptional. It was in
3 the context of a protest, if you will. It's been talked
4 about as a riot with the George Floyd incident. It was
5 something that was unique and exceptional. So when we
6 consider deterrence, as Mr. Gonzales indicated, he was swept
7 up in the events that night and, you know, it had been -- he
8 was celebrating his birthday, he was swept up in the events
9 that night, and we look at whether specific or general
10 deterrence, what level of sentence, what amount of months is
11 necessary to address those issues.

12 From a specific deterrence standpoint, I'd submit
13 to the Court that Mr. Gonzales, but for the unique
14 circumstances, would not have found himself in a situation,
15 would not have grabbed the gas can, would not have poured
16 the gas can on the flame that was already started, but for
17 those unique circumstances, which is unlikely to repeat in
18 those circumstances.

19 So as far as specific deterrence for Mr. Gonzales,
20 I think it's more what amount of months is necessary -- and
21 I'll just be frank about it -- for punishment purposes as
22 opposed to saying specific deterrence, because it's not
23 something that he is likely to repeat and he would likely
24 not have done it except for the extraordinary circumstances,
25 I mean, the situation. He's not justifying it, but as a

1 unique set of circumstances.

2 As far as general deterrence, I think there could
3 be some value in general deterrence in a sense; however, I
4 don't think that 37 months is necessarily -- I think that's
5 more than enough, that's more time than necessary to make
6 that point.

7 Looking at the other factors for sentencing in
8 this case, Mr. Gonzales himself. He has made strides
9 recently. With any chemical dependency there is a chance of
10 relapse. You saw from the release report that he did have a
11 relapse recently based upon the stress, you know, that he is
12 facing. To everyone's credit, I believe -- you know, his
13 probation officer talked to him about it. He had actually
14 gone back to his classes. He reinvested in the treatment
15 that he had before, he had done inpatient treatment. He's
16 in the out-of-custody treatment.

17 And what I really applaud and I think is excellent
18 was that there was a discussion with him about how he could
19 handle it and could he handle it, and then he was allowed to
20 remain in the program and he's making those strides.

21 So there is -- as far as how much time is
22 necessary for him to continue to benefit from chemical
23 dependency treatment, which is one of the driving problems
24 in his life which led to a number of these issues, he's
25 showing that he's making these strides in an out-of-custody

1 setting. If he's in custody, I'd submit to the Court he
2 doesn't need 37 months to have further treatments, to be
3 able to benefit from treatment so when he's released he can
4 be more productive in society. But I'd also note that
5 looking at him personally and his circumstance in this, he's
6 got a family, he's got children, he's got a job, he started
7 a business, he's doing productive things. So while there
8 may be -- there's going to be an interruption in what he's
9 doing to serve his sentence that is required by his conduct,
10 I ask the Court to make it as short as possible so he can
11 get back to the positive things that he's building and has
12 built.

13 So, given all of those circumstances, what I've
14 addressed in the memo, what I've said now, looking at the
15 3553(a) factors, I'd ask the Court to give a sentence,
16 understanding the plea agreement, what we talked about at
17 the beginning of this process, around the 27-month range
18 would be more commiserate with those 3553(a) factors, so I
19 ask the Court to consider that.

20 THE COURT: Thank you.

21 Mr. Gonzales, this is your opportunity to tell me
22 anything that you'd like to tell me about an appropriate
23 sentence in this case.

24 I guess the other thing that I failed to mention
25 earlier, but I want you to know -- it's not in the PSR or

1 any of the papers that I received, but I was informed this
2 morning that your dad has had a stroke and I wanted to let
3 you know that I knew that and that I'm sorry to hear that.
4 Do I understand that correctly?

5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Thank you.

6 THE COURT: And I'm sorry about that.

7 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. I appreciate that.

8 I am definitely aware of the severity of the crime
9 that I have committed and I know I have a lot of patterns
10 and I have worked really hard to correct those patterns.
11 People look at your past no matter what. And I deeply
12 apologize to the community that was affected, as well as my
13 family, because I do feel like it has affected both.

14 And for a lesser sentence would definitely be very
15 possible for me to go back to the business and the family
16 that I have created. And I am aware of the sentencing that
17 I am about to receive is appropriate regardless because of
18 the severity of the crime that I have committed.

19 THE COURT: Thank you.

20 (Pause)

21 THE COURT: All right. It's time for sentencing.

22 Mr. Gonzales, you've been charged with and you've
23 pleaded guilty to one count of conspiracy to commit arson in
24 violation of 18 U.S.C., Sections 371 and 844(i).

25 Based on your plea of guilty, it is considered and

1 adjudged that you are guilty of the offense, and it is the
2 judgment of the Court that you, Marc Bell Gonzales, be
3 committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for
4 imprisonment for a term of 37 months.

5 I will recommend participation in the RDAP program
6 if you are eligible.

7 Mr. Benson, is there a facility designation
8 request?

9 MR. BENSON: Yes, Your Honor. It would be
10 definitely the state of Minnesota, the Duluth facility.

11 THE COURT: All right. I'll recommend designation
12 to the Duluth FPC or, if not available, then another
13 facility in Minnesota, or if unavailable, then a facility as
14 close to Minnesota as possible.

15 On release from imprisonment I will order that you
16 serve a supervised release term of three years. While on
17 supervised release you must comply with the following
18 mandatory conditions:

19 You shall not commit any crimes, whether federal,
20 state, or local.

21 You shall not unlawfully possess a controlled
22 substance. You shall refrain from any unlawful use of a
23 controlled substance and submit to one drug test within 15
24 days of release on supervised release and at least two
25 periodic drug tests thereafter as determined by the court.

1 You shall cooperate in the collection of a DNA
2 sample as directed by the United States Probation Office.

3 You shall notify the court of any material change
4 in your economic circumstances that might affect your
5 ability to pay the special assessment that the law requires
6 me to order, and I'll do that shortly.

7 It is also required that you abide by the standard
8 conditions of supervised release that have been adopted in
9 this district and a copy of those will be provided to you at
10 a future date. While on supervised release, I'll order that
11 you comply with five special conditions. I'll list those
12 now.

13 First, you shall abstain from the use of alcohol
14 and other intoxicants and not frequent establishments whose
15 primary business is the sale of alcoholic beverages.

16 Second, you shall complete an immediate assessment
17 or participate in a program for substance abuse as approved
18 by the Probation Office upon release or relapse during your
19 term of supervised release. That program may include
20 testing and inpatient or outpatient treatment, counseling,
21 or a support group. You shall contribute to the costs of
22 such program or treatment as determined by the Probation
23 Office Co-Payment Program, not to exceed the total cost of
24 treatment.

25 You shall participate in a psychological or

1 psychiatric counseling or treatment program as approved by
2 the probation officer. Further, you shall contribute to the
3 costs of such treatment as determined by the Probation
4 Office Co-Payment Program, again, not to exceed the total
5 cost of treatment.

6 I will order that you have no contact with the
7 victim, including -- and by "no contact" I mean any form of
8 contact: letters, communication devices, audio or visual
9 devices, visits, or any contact through a third party,
10 without prior consent of the probation officer.

11 Finally, you shall be screened for and/or
12 participate in Reentry Court programming and shall abide by
13 all rules of that program. Participation in Reentry Court
14 programming may include referrals for substance abuse
15 assessment, or treatment and testing, mental health
16 assessment and/or treatment, cognitive behavioral programs,
17 medication compliance, and any other program deemed
18 appropriate to enhance your successful reintegration into
19 the community. You shall contribute to the cost of this
20 program as to substance abuse and/or mental health
21 assessment or treatment to the extent you are deemed capable
22 by the United States Probation Officer.

23 I will impose no fine because I find that
24 Mr. Gonzales cannot afford to pay a fine in the established
25 range at this time.

1 I will not order restitution because none has been
2 requested.

3 I will order that you pay a special assessment in
4 the amount of \$100 that's due to the United States
5 immediately.

6 Mr. Gonzales, the law requires me to explain the
7 reasons for the sentence I've imposed, so I'll do that at
8 this time.

9 In determining what sentence to impose, I've
10 treated the range recommended by the United States
11 Sentencing Guidelines as the starting point and the
12 benchmark as United States Supreme Court precedent requires.

13 I have not presumed that the guidelines range is
14 reasonable. I have instead considered all of the factors
15 described in 18 U.S.C., Section 3553(a), including
16 particularly the need for the sentence to be sufficient, but
17 not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set
18 forth in Section 3553(a) (2).

19 In particular, as to the sentence of imprisonment,
20 the nature and circumstances of the offense are described in
21 the PSR. I have reviewed and considered them.

22 Mr. Gonzales participated in an arson that caused
23 the Wells Fargo Bank building located at 3030 Nicollet
24 Avenue South in Minneapolis to collapse, resulting in a
25 total loss of the structure.

Encouraged by a crowd shouting, "Burn it down," Mr. Gonzales held a gasoline container above his head and poured it into the fire. No evidence suggests Mr. Gonzales determined or cared whether someone might have been in the building. No evidence suggests he cared at all about the risk of the fire spreading, the risk it might injure bystanders, or the risk to first responders.

I will grant that Mr. Gonzales's history of substance abuse and the fact that he was under the influence of alcohol that evening might be mitigating factors, but these are counterbalanced in my view by his criminal record. In other words, Mr. Gonzales's record makes it difficult for me to accept the impulsivity of his actions here as a mitigating factor.

And I note that Mr. Gonzales also was observed on surveillance video looting and fire-setting in an Office Depot on Nicollet Avenue in proximity to the Wells Fargo Bank.

I also think it's significant that Mr. Gonzales's actions did significant damage to a community that was already struggling and already reeling from recent events. In a neighborhood where things were difficult enough, Mr. Gonzales's actions made things worse. The collateral effects of this conduct continue to this date given that that community has yet to rebuild, and in fact rebuilding

1 seems a ways off. The bottom line here is that it's hard to
2 find many mitigating circumstances or factors or a reason to
3 sentence Mr. Gonzales outside of the guidelines.

4 I recognize that this is a significant graduated
5 sanction for Mr. Gonzales. Though he has been involved with
6 the criminal justice system consistently since 2009, his
7 sentences have included fines, probation, and custody terms
8 between 30 days and 24 months. I think a within-guidelines
9 sentence of 37 months is nonetheless warranted to account
10 for the seriousness of the offense, a lack of mitigating
11 factors, Mr. Gonzales's history of noncompliance with
12 supervision conditions in the past, and his noncompliance
13 with the conditions of release in this case.

14 And I'd add the fact that he was under a criminal
15 justice sentence at the time of his involvement in this
16 case.

17 Mr. Gonzales has correctional needs in the areas
18 of substance abuse, mental health, educational and
19 vocational training, that may be met by BOP programming, but
20 those needs and factors have not weighed into the length of
21 sentence that I've imposed here.

22 I have reviewed sentences imposed on others for
23 this offense -- and by "others" I mean others generally and
24 also others on the same night and at the same time as this
25 offense was committed, and I find that this sentence will

1 impose no unwarranted disparities.

2 As to the term and conditions of supervised
3 release, I think that alcohol substance abuse and mental
4 health issues make those conditions that I've imposed, those
5 special conditions that I've imposed, sort of self-evident,
6 or the need for and reasons for those self-evident. I'm
7 just trying to give Mr. Gonzales and his probation officer
8 the tools they need to assure Mr. Gonzales's successful
9 reentry into society.

10 Mr. Gonzales, the law requires me to advise you of
11 your appeal rights, and so I'm going to do that at this
12 time.

13 You have the right to appeal your conviction if
14 you believe that your guilty plea was unlawful or invalid
15 for any reason. In general a defendant has the right to
16 appeal his sentence; however, you entered a plea agreement
17 and in that plea agreement you gave up some of your rights,
18 including your right to appeal a sentence of the length that
19 I have imposed here.

20 Courts generally enforce such waivers, but if you
21 believe that notwithstanding your plea agreement you still
22 have the right to appeal your sentence, then you should go
23 ahead and appeal your sentence and make your argument to the
24 Court of Appeals.

25 If you wish to appeal your conviction, your

1 sentence, or both, you must file a notice of appeal within
2 14 days after the entry of judgment of conviction in this
3 case.

4 If you cannot afford to pay the costs of an
5 appeal, you can ask for permission to appeal
6 *in forma pauperis*. In other words, you can ask permission
7 to be excused from paying any fees or costs. If you make
8 that request, the Clerk of Court will file a notice of
9 appeal on your behalf.

10 The presentence investigation report will be kept
11 in the court's files under seal. If you file an appeal,
12 that report will be forwarded to the Eighth Circuit Court of
13 Appeals. That's the court to which an appeal from here
14 would go.

15 All right. We have sealed filings here, in
16 particular docket entries 25 and 34. Those are letters
17 submitted by family and friends of Mr. Gonzales.

18 Mr. Benson, would you like to file a motion or
19 move at this time to keep those records under seal?

20 MR. BENSON: I would move to keep them under seal,
21 Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: For how long?

23 MR. BENSON: If that's sufficient. If the Court
24 would prefer a motion, I can file one.

25 THE COURT: No, an oral motion is just fine. How

1 long?

2 MR. BENSON: I'd say eight years, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Any concerns, Mr. Winter?

4 MR. WINTER: No, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: All right. That motion's granted.

6 I'll order those to remain under seal for a period of eight
7 years.

8 Mr. Gonzales is not currently in custody and I am
9 inclined to permit Mr. Gonzales to self-surrender given all
10 of the factors and circumstances here.

11 Mr. Winter, any objection to that?

12 MR. WINTER: No objection.

13 THE COURT: Mr. Benson, I have a date in mind.

14 I'm not sure if Mr. Gonzales has a date in mind. Is this
15 something that you'd like to --

16 MR. BENSON: We've spoken about it, Your Honor.
17 We'd ask for a 30-day date given the -- I think his initial
18 intention was to get it done sooner rather than later.
19 However, given his father's situation, we'd like to have 30
20 days to at least -- so he can be around for whatever happens
21 in the next 30 days.

22 THE COURT: All right.

23 (Discussion off the record between the Court and
24 the courtroom deputy)

25 THE COURT: June 29th or July 6th?

1 MR. BENSON: The 29th, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: So, Mr. Gonzales, I'll order you to
3 surrender for service of your sentence at the institution
4 designated by the Bureau of Prisons by noon on June 29th,
5 Tuesday, June 29th, as notified by the Probation and
6 Pretrial Services Office. In the event that you have not
7 been designated by the Bureau of Prisons on or before
8 Friday, June 25th, then I will order that you surrender to
9 the United States Marshal for this district in Minneapolis
10 by 10:00 a.m. on the 29th.

11 I will also order that the conditions of release
12 previously ordered remain in effect.

13 Mr. Benson, any questions about that process?

14 MR. BENSON: No, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

16 Mr. Benson, anything further that you think we
17 need to cover here today?

18 MR. BENSON: No, thank you, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Winter, same question.

20 MR. WINTER: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

21 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gonzales, good luck to
22 you.

23 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: All right. We'll stand adjourned.

25 Thank you.

(Proceedings concluded at 9:43 a.m.)

* * * *

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, **TIMOTHY J. WILLETT**, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a true and accurate transcription of my shorthand notes, taken in the aforementioned matter, to the best of my skill and ability.

/s/ Timothy J. Willette

TIMOTHY J. WILLETT, RDR, CRR, CRC
Official Court Reporter - U.S. District Court
Warren E. Burger Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse
316 North Robert Street - Suite 146
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101
651.848.1224